INTRODUCTION TO THE PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION

LECTURE ONE

One could divide worldviews in this manner:-

Physicalist Views

Religions which think the supernatural fundamentally impersonal
Religious Views

Religions which think it fundamentally personal

We'll concentrate on the last. More specifically, we'll look at the central claim of monotheistic religions, the claim that there is a God. What does this mean? Are there any reasons for believing that it's true? Are there any reasons for believing that it's false?

THE PROPERTIES OF GOD

PROPERTY ONE: PERSONHOOD

"In regarding God as a [see cautionary note below] person, theists regard God as someone who is rational; who has beliefs; who is to be treated as an object of moral respect; who reciprocates that attitude towards us; and who can perform actions, actions which paradigmatically include verbal communication." If there is a God, He has these properties maximally.

PROPERTY TWO: 'INCORPOREALITY/TRANSCENDENCE'

Question: What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for a particular section of matter to be a part of one's body?

Possible Answer One: Its being a section of matter one can learn about directly. But this isn't a necessary condition – Anaesthetised hand example. Might be a sufficient condition.

Possible Answer Two: Its being a section of matter one can control directly. But this isn't a necessary condition – Paralysed hand example. Might be a sufficient condition.

Disjunction not a necessary condition - Anaesthetised and paralysed hand example.

But they are jointly sufficient conditions – statue example. Therefore, God's being incorporeal would imply there is no section of matter He learns about directly or can control directly.

PROPERTY THREE: OMNIPRESENCE/IMMANENCE

Entails universe is God's body (or at least a part of His body). "In short, because it is a sufficient condition of being corporeal that one can learn about the state of some section of matter directly and can control it directly, then it is a necessary condition of being incorporeal that one cannot do this for any section of matter; yet because it is a necessary condition of omnipresence that one can learn about every section of matter that there is directly and control all of it directly, then it must be a necessary condition of omnipresence that one be corporeal."

Construe Incorporeality/transcendence = God's not being more present anywhere in the universe than He is anywhere else

Construe Omnipresence/immanence = God's not being absent from anywhere in the universe

CAUTIONARY NOTE

Naturally, you might disagree with me at any number of points, but one claim I make in the lecture is that all theists agree that God's *a* person; that's just wrong: Christians think that God is *three* persons (the Doctrine of the Trinity). Bringing the Trinity into view would complicate the articulation of my arguments, but, I suggest, not fundamentally affect them. So I'll keep it out of view.

It is also worth underscoring that though *I* think theists should think of the universe as God's body, most theists don't agree. Look up 'Theism' (and 'Atheism' and 'Agnosticism', whilst you're at it) and 'Deism',

'Pantheism' and 'Panentheism'. I think that the account I give is theistic rather than panentheistic, but some would disagree.

And then finally, what I say about God not being present anywhere in the universe more than he is anywhere else would need to be withdrawn and a modified claim issued in its place were a divine person to become or have become incarnate; again, I suggest this would complicate but not fundamentally affect my argument, i.e. what I say could be readily made compatible with Christianity.

SUGGESTED READING

D. Dennett, 'Conditions of Personhood' and 'Where am I?', which are chapters 14 and 17 in his book *Brainstorms*.

T. J. Mawson